REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending after the Response. Of those, Claims 1 and 9 are independent. Claims 2-8 depend, directly or indirectly, from Claim 1. Claims 10-12 depend, directly or indirectly, from Claim 9. In this Response, Applicants amend Claims 1, 9, 10 and 11. The claim amendments do not add any new matter.

Claim Objections

The Final Office Action objects to duplicate Claim 13. Duplicate Claim 13 was listed in the Response as a result of a typographical error. The section *Amendments to Claims* in this RCE identifies Claim 13 as cancelled. Applicants therefore request that the objection raised in the Final Office Action be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 1-5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in light of Frieze

Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in light of Frieze. Anticipation requires the cited reference to teach each and every element of the claim. See MPEP 2131.01. Applicants amend Claim 1. Support for the amendments is found throughout the application, as filed, for example, on page 9, lines 9-15 and 21-25. Applicants assert that Frieze does not teach at least one element recited in Claims 1-5 and 8, as currently amended, namely, the at least one second portion forming at least one side of the generally dome-shaped surface, not forming a surface of rotation about the rotational axis, located generally more closely to the rotational axis than the first portion, and reducing a dimension of the generally dome-shaped surface in at least one dimension transverse to the rotational axis of the generally dome shape. At least in view of the foregoing, Frieze fails to anticipate Claims 1-5 and 8. Applicants respectfully assert that the claim amendments overcome the rejection based on Frieze and request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn